

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3 have been amended. Claims 5-13 have been added. Hence, claims 1 and 3-13 are now active and under consideration in this case.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Applicant, Mr. Sylvain Tremblay, wishes to extend his gratitude to Examiner Scott Kastler for the helpful and courteous discussion conducted on October 14, 2005, with the U.S. representative, Mr. William Beaumont, having associate power of attorney.

During the discussion, samples of the presently claimed insulating back-up material ("PYROFLOW") and of Doza et al. ("DRI-LITE 65A"), as manufactured by the Assignee, Allied Mineral, were displayed for inspection along with corresponding product specification sheets as well as a product specification sheet for "DRI-LITE 79 AC."

Applicant's U.S. representative has retained these samples, and they are, thus, available if the Examiner deems further inspection to be warranted.

Although back-up insulating materials are known in the aluminum industry, such as WOOLITE®, such materials entail the use of water in their preparation. Hence, any residual water must be removed completely as the back-up insulating material

comes into contact with molten aluminum. This has proved to be problematic. See page 1, lines 11-30 of the present specification.

Other conventional back-up insulating materials, such as DRI-LITE®, are not free-flowing and require the use of a vibrator for installation. See page 2, lines 1-5 of the present specification.

The subject matter of present claims 1-10 avoids the above disadvantages by providing a back-up insulating material which is, at once: 1)water-free, 2)free-flowing, 3)of low density, 4)of low thermal conductivity and 5)free of organics, particularly organic binders.

In particular, present claim 1 recites a free-flowing dry back-up insulating material having a thermal conductivity ranging between about 0.8 and about 1.8 BTU·in/ft<sup>2</sup>·h·°F, and a setting temperature lower than 400°F, wherein the material consists essentially of:

a) from 67 to 96% by weight of fly-ash containing cenospheres,

b) from 2 to 15% by weight of a heat-sensitive binder selected from the group consisting of boric acid and anhydrous boron oxide,

c) from 2 to 7% by weight of a non-wetting agent selected from the group consisting of calcium fluoride, magnesium fluoride and barium sulphate,

d) from 0 to 10% by weight of a heat expandable material selected from the group consisting of vermiculite and graphite; and

e) from 0 to 1% by weight of a dust suppressant.

Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Doza et al. in view of Benson and Japanese '773.

However, none of these references, either alone or in combination, discloses or suggests the present invention.

Doza et al. merely describes a back-up insulating material which contains: 1) a filler light weight material, and 2) a matrix material. A heat-activating bonding agent may be used, but is clearly optional.

Notably, this reference describes the use of many different filler light weight materials, which may be selected from:

...perlite, vermiculite, expanded shale,  
expanded fireclay, expanded alumina silica  
hollow spheres, bubble alumina, sintered  
porous alumina, alumina spinel insulating  
aggregate, expanded mullite, cordierite, and  
anorthite...See the Abstract.

Further, Example 1 at columns 12-13 describes the use of perlite as the filler light weight material, while Example 2 at

columns 13-14 describes the use of alumina/spinel insulating aggregate as this material. Importantly, this reference provides neither a description nor a suggestion to use fly-ash containing cenospheres in a back-up insulating composition.

While Doza et al. do describe "expanded alumina silica hollow spheres," such spheres are clearly not the same as fly-ash containing cenospheres as claimed.

Attached hereto are three Product Bulletins, one for DRI-LITE® 79AC and another for DRI-LITE® 65A, of Allied Mineral Products, the assignee of Doza et al.. A third product sheet for "PYROFLOW" of the present invention as claimed is also attached. Several points are worthy of note.

First, both the 65A and 79AC product sheets describe that these "aluminum-silicate based insulating dry-vibratable" products contain in excess of 80% of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (alumina) and  $\text{SiO}_2$  (silica). Thus, the total amounts of alumina and silica used in these products are comparable to the amount of fly-ash containing cenospheres used in present claim 1, and as exemplified by the Example in the present specification.

Second, however, the criticality of using the claimed fly-ash containing cenospheres as opposed to any other type of alumina/silica mixture may be readily appreciated by inspecting the much lower thermal conductivities afforded by the present

invention as compared to those of DRI-LITE® 65A and 79AC - for comparable temperatures.

Notably, the thermal conductivities of DRI-LITE® 65A, and DRI-LITE® 79AC are 1.80 and 2.70, respectively at 800°F. At the comparable temperature of 732°F, "PYROFLOW" of the present invention has a thermal conductivity of 1.19. This is the same result for the Example in the present specification. Even at the high temperature of 1,200°F, the thermal conductivities for DRI-LITE® 65A, DRI-LITE® 79AC and "PYROFLOW" are 2.00, 3.10 and 1.82, respectively.

Thus, the thermal conductivities of the subject material of present claim 1 are uniformly lower than those of the compositions of Doza et al.

Clearly, one skilled in the art would have no reason from the prior art of record to expect that the use of fly-ash containing cenospheres in a back-up insulating composition would result in a material having a greatly reduced thermal conductivity. Yet, such a result is most important for the back-up insulating material as claimed.

Additionally, as noted above, during the discussion with Examiner Kastler, several samples were displayed for inspection.

They were:

- a) DRI-ITE 65A "as received" (100g);
- b) DRI-LITE 65A "heated at 500°C for 2 hrs" (100g);
- c) PYROFLOW "as received" (100g); and
- d) PYROFLOW "heated at 500°C for 2 hrs (200g).

The visual and tactile observations made from these samples were as follows:

First, both DRI-LITE 65A samples a) and b) appear and feel as a rough, grainy composition, and when agitated, move as a rough, grainy composition with no fluidity.

Second, in contrast, PYROFLOW sample c) appears and feels as a fluid, fine dust, and when agitated, exhibits a notable fluidity.

Third, PYROFLOW sample d), which was heated at 500°C for 2 hours, has the appearance of a finished, solid product in the shape of a wheel. This is because of the binder content, which binder sets at a temperature of lower than 400°F. In contrast, the DRI-LITE 65A sample b) remained as a rough, grainy powder even after being heated to 500°C for 2 hours. This latter difference is due to the incorporation of a binder in the PYROFLOW composition which has a setting temperature of less than 400°F.

By using either anhydrous boron oxide or boric acid, a low setting temperature is achieved for the present material, i.e., less than 400°F. Doza et al. fails to make any distinction between organic and inorganic binders. See column 4, lines 51-61. Further, this reference fails to either disclose or suggest the use of either boric acid or anhydrous boron oxide in order to achieve a low setting temperature.

Fourth, none of the references of record either discloses or suggests the claimed combination of fly-ash containing cenospheres and boric acid and/or anhydrous boron oxide.

Clearly, any presumption of obviousness for the subject matter of the present claims is rebutted by the above comments and attached product sheets.

Hence, this ground of rejection is unsustainable and should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, in view of all of the above, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

**LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP**



William E. Beaumont  
Registration No. 30,996

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
(703) 684-1111 **WEB/sj**  
Facsimile: (703) 518-5499  
**Date: October 31, 2005**